

# Primes in Computable UFDs

Joe Mileti  
Grinnell College

April 10, 2013

# Units and Associates

## Definition

An **integral domain** is a commutative ring with identity such that whenever  $ab = 0$ , either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

## Definition

Let  $A$  be an integral domain. An element  $u \in A$  is called a **unit** if there exists  $w \in A$  with  $uw = 1$ . We let  $U(A)$  be the set of units of  $A$ .

## Definition

Let  $A$  be an integral domain and let  $a, b \in A$ . We say that  $a$  and  $b$  are **associates** if there exists  $u \in U(A)$  with  $au = b$ . Equivalently, both  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ .

# Units

## Proposition

Let  $A$  be an integral domain.

- ▶  $U(A)$  is a multiplicative group.
- ▶ If  $a \in U(A)$  and  $b \mid a$ , then  $b \in U(A)$ .

For example, consider the integral domain  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ . Notice that  $1 + \sqrt{2} \in U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$  because  $(1 + \sqrt{2})(-1 + \sqrt{2}) = 1$ . Taking powers of  $1 + \sqrt{2}$ , the following are units:

- ▶  $3 + 2\sqrt{2}$
- ▶  $7 + 5\sqrt{2}$
- ▶  $17 + 12\sqrt{2}$

In fact,  $U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) = \{\pm(1 + \sqrt{2})^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

# Primes and Irreducibles

## Definition

Let  $A$  be an integral domain. Let  $p \in A \setminus U(A)$  be nonzero.

- ▶  $p$  is **irreducible** if whenever  $p = ab$ , either  $a$  is a unit or  $b$  is a unit.
- ▶  $p$  is **prime** if whenever  $p \mid ab$ , either  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

In an integral domain, primes are always irreducible but the converse need not hold. In  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  we have

$$2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$$

All factors are irreducible but none are prime.

# UFDs

## Definition

A **unique factorization domain** or **UFD** is an integral domain  $A$  such that:

- ▶ Every (nonzero nonunit) element of  $A$  can be written as a product of irreducibles.
- ▶ Any representation of an element as a product of irreducibles is unique up to order and associates.

In  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , we have

$$(2 + i)(2 - i) = 5 = (1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)$$

but  $2 + i = i \cdot (1 - 2i)$  and  $2 - i = (-i) \cdot (1 + 2i)$ .

# UFDs

## Proposition

*In a UFD, every irreducible is prime.*

## Proposition

*Let  $A$  be an integral domain. The following are equivalent.*

- ▶  *$A$  is a UFD.*
- ▶ *Every element is a product of irreducibles, and every irreducible is prime.*
- ▶ *The strict divisibility relation is well-founded, and every irreducible is prime.*

# Examples of UFDs

## Theorem

$\mathbb{Z}$  is a UFD.

To prove this, one shows that every element is a product of irreducibles by induction. One then develops enough properties of GCD's (i.e. that they exist and can be written as a linear combination of the elements) to prove that irreducibles are prime. These arguments carry over to the following.

## Theorem

- ▶ *In a Euclidean domain, all irreducible elements are prime.*
- ▶ *In a PID, all irreducible elements are prime.*

# Noetherian Rings

## Definition

A ring is **Noetherian** if it has no strictly ascending sequence of ideals. This is equivalent to the statement that every ideal is finitely generated.

Since  $a \mid b$  if and only if  $\langle b \rangle \subseteq \langle a \rangle$ , the strict divisibility relation is well-founded in any Noetherian integral domain.

## Corollary

*Let  $A$  be a Noetherian integral domain. If every irreducible element is prime, then  $A$  is a UFD.*

## Corollary

*Euclidean domains and PIDs are UFDs.*



## Structure of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]$

### Theorem

$\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a UFD and  $U(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$ .

### Theorem

Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  be prime.

- ▶ If  $q < 0$ , then  $U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]) = \{1, -1\}$ .
- ▶ If  $q > 0$ , then  $U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}])$  is infinite.

### Theorem

$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$  is a UFD, but  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]$  is not a UFD whenever  $q < -2$  is prime.

The situation for  $q > 0$  is much more complicated.

## Primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

### Theorem

Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be an odd prime. The following are equivalent:

- ▶  $p$  is not prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .
- ▶  $-1$  is a square modulo  $p$ .
- ▶  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

Furthermore, these are all equivalent to  $p$  being a sum of two squares in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

For example,

- ▶  $13 \mid (5 + i)(5 - i)$  or  $13 = (3 + 2i)(3 - 2i)$ .
- ▶  $5^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{13}$ .
- ▶  $13 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

and  $13 = 3^2 + 2^2$ .

## Primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]$

### Theorem

*Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  be prime. Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be an odd prime with  $p \neq q$ . The following are equivalent:*

- ▶  *$p$  is not prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]$ .*
- ▶  *$q$  is a square modulo  $p$ .*

In particular, by introducing a simple factorization for  $q$ , we may do the following:

- ▶ Lose the property of being a UFD.
- ▶ Destroy other primes.
- ▶ Introduce new units.

# Primes in Computable UFDs

Let  $p_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  prime in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## Theorem (Dzhafarov, Mileti)

*Let  $Q$  be a  $\Pi_2^0$  set. There exists a computable UFD  $A$  such that:*

- ▶  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of  $A$ .
- ▶  $p_i$  is prime in  $A$  if and only if  $i \in Q$ .

## Corollary

*There exists a computable UFD  $A$  such that the set of primes is  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete in every computable presentation of  $A$  (even uniformly in an index for the presentation).*

# Bad Presentations

Many constructions in computable algebra build a “bad” computable copy of a “nice” ring where the objects one is considering are complicated.

## Theorem (Friedman, Simpson, Smith)

- ▶ *There is a computable local ring such that the unique maximal ideal  $M$  satisfies  $M \geq_T 0'$ .*
- ▶ *There is a computable ring such that  $P$  has PA-degree for every prime ideal  $P$ .*

These constructions start in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$  and use the algebraically independent elements to do the coding. Infinitely many  $x_i$  do some coding, and infinitely many do not.

## Idea

We want to turn primes **on** and **off** based on a  $\Pi_2^0$  occurrence. So if  $i$  acts infinitely often, we want  $p_i$  to be prime in the end. If  $i$  acts finitely often, we want it not to be prime.

To work for  $i$ , we assume finite action, and introduce a factorization  $p_i = xy$  for new elements  $x$  and  $y$ . If  $i$  acts at a later stage, we want to destroy this factorization. To do this, we make  $y$  a unit.

We then introduce another factorization  $p_i = x'y'$  for new  $x'$  and  $y'$ , and continue, destroying it if  $i$  acts again.

# Ring Theoretic Operations

In the above sketch, we start with  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and repeatedly expand it through the following two operations:

- ▶ **Localization:** This process embeds an integral domain into a larger one making some prescribed elements units.
- ▶ **Introduce a Factorization:** This consists of **adjoining elements**  $x$  and  $y$  and then introducing a relation  $xy - p_i$ , i.e. **taking a quotient**.

Ideally, we hope that these operations preserve nice algebraic properties of the ring, and do not disturb individual elements in significant ways.

# Preserving Structure

Questions:

- ▶ Do these operations preserve important algebraic structure?
- ▶ Does introducing a new factorization for  $p_i$  destroy other primes? Does it introduce new units?
- ▶ Does making  $y$  a unit destroy other primes? Return the corresponding  $p_i$  to being prime (how do we know there aren't other factorizations)? Turn distinct primes into associates?
- ▶ What happens in the limit?



# Adjoining an Element: Gauss and Hilbert

## Theorem (Gauss)

*If  $A$  is a UFD, then  $A[x]$  is a UFD.*

## Theorem (Hilbert Basis Theorem)

*If  $A$  is Noetherian, then  $A[x]$  is Noetherian.*

## Corollary

*If  $A$  is a Noetherian UFD, then  $A[x]$  is a Noetherian UFD, as is  $A[x, y]$ ,  $A[x, y, z]$ ,  $\dots$*

## Making Something a Unit

Recall that products of units are units, and divisors of units are units.

In  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-14}]$ , we have

$$3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = (5 + 2\sqrt{-14})(5 - 2\sqrt{-14})$$

Each factor is irreducible, but none of the irreducibles are associates. If we turn 3 into a unit, then we automatically turn both  $5 + 2\sqrt{-14}$  and  $5 - 2\sqrt{-14}$  into units.

## Localization

Let  $A$  be an integral domain and let  $S$  be a multiplicatively closed subset of  $A$ . There is an integral domain  $S^{-1}A$ , called the **localization** of  $A$  at  $S$ , with the following properties:

- ▶  $A$  embeds into  $S^{-1}A$  in such a way that every element of  $S$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}A$ .
- ▶  $S^{-1}A$  is the smallest integral domain with this property.

One can construct  $S^{-1}A$  as the set of pairs  $(a, s)$  modulo the equivalence relation  $(a, s) \sim (b, t)$  if  $ta = sb$ . Addition and multiplication behave as for fractions.

# Localization Preserves Structure

## Proposition

*A localization of a UFD is a UFD.*

## Proposition

*A localization of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian.*

## Corollary

*A localization of a Noetherian UFD is a Noetherian UFD.*

## Turning a Prime into a Unit

Let  $A$  be a UFD and let  $q \in A$  be prime. Let  $S = \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}$ , and consider the integral domain  $B = S^{-1}A$ .

### Proposition

- ▶ *If  $A$  is a computable and  $\{a \in A : q \mid a\}$  is computable, then we can build  $B$  as a computable extension of  $A$ .*
- ▶ *If  $p \in A$  is prime and is not an associate of  $q$  in  $A$ , then  $p$  is prime in  $B$ .*
- ▶ *If  $p_1, p_2 \in A$  are primes that are not associates in  $A$ , then they are not associates in  $B$ .*
- ▶ *If  $p \in A$  is prime and  $\{a \in A : p \mid a\}$  is computable, then  $\{b \in S^{-1}A : p \mid b\}$  is computable (uniformly from an index).*

## Quotients

Unfortunately, quotients destroy many algebraic properties. For example:

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2 + 5 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$$

is a quotient of a UFD, but is not itself a UFD. Furthermore, in this quotient, 2 remains irreducible but we have destroyed the property of primeness.

We've also seen that quotients can introduce many unexpected units, as in:

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x^2 - 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$$

## Introducing a Factorization

Let  $A$  be a Noetherian UFD and let  $q \in A$  be prime. Let

$$B = A[x, y]/\langle xy - q \rangle$$

Elements of  $B$  can be represented uniquely in the form

$$a_mx^m + \cdots + a_1x + c + b_1y + \cdots + b_ny^n$$

where the coefficients are from  $A$  and we multiply using the relation  $xy = q$ .

# Introducing a Factorization

## Proposition

- ▶ *If  $A$  is a computable, then we can build  $B$  as a computable extension of  $A$ .*
- ▶  *$B$  is an integral domain.*
- ▶ *If  $\sigma, \tau \in B$  and  $\sigma\tau \in A$ , then either both are in  $A$ , one is 0, or one is  $ax^n$  while the other is  $by^n$ .*

## Corollary

- ▶  $U(B) = U(A)$ .
- ▶ *If  $p_1, p_2 \in A$  are primes that are not associates in  $A$ , then they are not associates in  $B$ .*
- ▶  *$x$  and  $y$  are not associates in  $B$ .*
- ▶ *Neither  $x$  nor  $y$  is an associate of any element in  $A$ .*



# Introducing a Factorization

## Theorem

- ▶ *If  $p \in A$  is prime and is not an associate of  $q$  in  $A$ , then  $p$  is prime in  $B$ .*
- ▶  *$x$  and  $y$  are primes in  $B$ .*

## Proposition

- ▶ *If  $p \in A$  is prime and  $\{a \in A : p \mid a\}$  is computable, then  $\{\sigma \in B : p \mid \sigma\}$  is computable (uniformly from an index).*
- ▶ *If  $\{a \in A : q \mid a\}$  is computable, then the sets  $\{b \in B : x \mid \sigma\}$  and  $\{b \in B : y \mid \sigma\}$  are computable (again uniformly).*

## Proving UFD

Recall that  $B = A[x, y]/\langle xy - q \rangle$ . Working with  $B$  directly is difficult, but we can understand it more easily if we invert an element. Let  $S$  be the multiplicative set generated by  $x$ . We prove that  $S^{-1}B$  is a UFD.

### Theorem (Nagata's Criterion)

*Let  $B$  be a Noetherian integral domain. Let  $\Gamma$  be a set of prime elements of  $B$ , and let  $S$  be the multiplicative set generated by  $\Gamma$ . If  $S^{-1}B$  is a UFD, then so is  $B$ .*

# Proving UFD

## Theorem

*B is a Noetherian UFD.*

## Proof Sketch.

Elements of  $B$  look like  $A$ -linear combinations of powers of  $x$  and powers of  $y$ . Localization commutes with quotients, so inverting  $x$  is the same as inverting  $x$  in  $A[x, y]$  and then taking the quotient. Now once we invert  $x$  we have  $\langle xy - q \rangle = \langle y - \frac{q}{x} \rangle$ , so essentially we are just inverting  $x$  in  $A[x]$ . But this is a localization of a UFD, hence a UFD. □

## Construction

To work for  $i$ , we assume finite action, and introduce a new factorization  $p_i = xy$  for new elements  $x$  and  $y$ . If  $i$  acts at a later stage, we want to destroy this factorization. To do this, we make  $y$  a unit, thus making  $p_i$  and  $x$  associates. Since  $x$  will remain prime in the extension,  $p_i$  will return to being prime. We then introduce a new factorization for  $p_i$ .

In this way, we build a sequence of Noetherian UFDs

$$\mathbb{Z} = A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$$

where we introduce factorizations and destroy them in response to our  $\Pi_2^0$  set. Let  $A_\infty = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ .

# The Limit

## Proposition

Let  $a \in A_\infty$ , so  $a \in A_m$  for some  $m$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $a \in U(A_\infty)$ .
2.  $a \in U(A_n)$  for all sufficiently large  $n \geq m$ .
3.  $a \in U(A_n)$  for some  $n \geq m$ .

## Proposition

Let  $p \in A_\infty$ , so  $p \in A_m$  for some  $m$ . If there are infinitely many  $n \geq m$  such that  $p$  is prime in  $A_n$ , then  $p$  is prime in  $A_\infty$ .

## Corollary

$p_i$  is prime in  $A_\infty$  if and only if  $i \in Q$ .

# The Limit

## Theorem

$A_\infty$  is a UFD.

In general, the union of an  $\omega$ -sequence of UFDs is not a UFD. However, the preservation of primes together with the previous corollary allow this to go through.